

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,247	01/16/2001	Katsumi Marukawa	500.39507X00	1022
24956	7590 04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			ARANI, TAGHI T	
SUITE 370	ONAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2131	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	09/759,247	MARUKAWA, KATSUMI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Taghi T. Arani	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 De	ecember 2004.	•	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 15-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 15-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/759,247

Art Unit: 2131 -

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7, 15-19 are pending.

Claims 1 and 15 are amended.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's amendment filed 12/02/2004 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior art of record, Schneier (Applied Cryptography) in view of Barton (USP 5, 912,972).

As per claim 1, Schneier teaches:

a data transmission step on said data

transmission side; and

a data reception step on said data reception side;

wherein said data transmission step comprises:

a second step of applying a digital signature to said original image so as to produce first signed data (pg. 37), a third step of ciphering said first signed data Application/Control Number: 09/759,247

Art Unit: 2131

with a public key of said data reception side so as to produce a ciphered signed data (pg. 41),

Schneier is silent on a first step of processing said original image so as to produce partial image,

a fourth step of merging said partial image and said first signed data, applying a one-way function to the merged data, and ciphering an output of said one-way function with a secret key of said data transmission side so as to obtain second signed data, and a fifth step of transmitting said partial image, said second signed data and said ciphered signed data to said data reception.

Barton teaches a first step of processing said original image so as to produce partial image (col. 6, line 66 -67),

Barton teaches a fourth step of merging said partial image and said first signed data, applying a one-way function to the merged data, and ciphering an output of said one-way function with a secret key of said data transmission side so as to obtain second signed data, and a fifth step of transmitting said partial image, said second signed data and said ciphered signed data to said data reception (col. 7, lines 4-46, steps 3-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Schneier with Barton's embedding authentication information within digital data to avoid detection by casual observer and eavesdropper and to allow a user to determine whether the digital data have been modified from their intended form (Barton, col. 1, lines 14-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/759,247

Art Unit: 2131

It would have also been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform the undoing of this cryptographic steps at the receiver to prove validity of the transmitted data. Each step performed at the receiver is known in the art at the reciprocal step to each of the taught steps for the sender. The receiver (Bob) on page 38 performs the comparison to the received hash, as it is well known in the art ( see also Barton, col. 7, lies 65 through col. 8, lines 27 for th steps of retrieval process).

As per claim 2, Schneier is silent is teaching the documents is scanned. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to first scan a document because scanning is a well known manner in which to digitize documents (Barton, lines 40-47).

As per claim 3, Schneier teaches written information includes a string of characters (pg. 38).

As per claim 4, Schneier teaches first signed data in said second step includes signed data obtained by applying a digital signature to data relating to said original image (pg. 40).

As per claim 15, Schneier as modified teaches the steps performed by the transmission apparatus of claim 15 in the method of claim 1. Therefore Schneier anticipates the apparatus itself.

As per claim 16, Schneier teaches first digital signature includes a digital signature obtained by ciphering data relating to said original image with said first secret key (page 38, Alice encrypts the hash with her private key).

As per claim 19, Schneier is silent in disclosing the documents is scanned. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to first scan a document because scanning is a well known manner in which to digitize documents (Barton, lines 40-47).

As per claims 5 and 17, Schneier is silent in disclosing using the volume of data. Barton teaches using the volume of data (col. 2, lines 64-67). In view of this it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of Barton within the system Schneier because the volume of data would be enough to represent the entire document without having to use of the bits.

As per claims 6 and 18, Schneier is silent in disclosing using the black pixels as the identifying data. Barton teaches using the pixel characteristics of the digitized documents (col. 10, lines 39-51) as identifying data. The number of black pixel would be one of these characteristics. In view of this it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of Barton within the system of Schneier because it would identify the original document.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Action is Final**

**THIS ACTION IS FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2131

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taghi T. Arani whose telephone number is (571) 272-3787. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/75**4**,247 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Taghi T. Arani, Ph.D.

Examiner
Art Unit 2131

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100